UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,022	10/07/2005	Tao Yang	L4050.0003	2715
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 04/21/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			GUZMAN, APRIL S	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/528,022	YANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	APRIL S. GUZMAN	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Feee</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Eee.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/16/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/21/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The Examiner acknowledges the receipt of the Applicant's amendment filed on 01/22/2008. Claims 1-2, and 7 have been amended. Claims 11-12 have been added. Claims 1-12 are therefore currently pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,022

Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leapman et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication # 2003/0198008) in view of Baker (U.S. Patent # 5,815,735).

Consider **claim 1**, Leapman et al. a wireless human-machine interactive device of personal computer comprising, a display and a base, in which the display can be independently used separately from the base (Abstract, Figure 1, [0005], and [0014]-[0015]), wherein:

a display output module comprising at least a central processing unit (CPU) and a liquid crystal display (LCD), a main board containing a supply circuit for providing a voltage conversion for the main board and charging a secondary battery, a backlight board, a touch

Art Unit: 2618

screen control board, a peripheral interface board comprising all Input/Output (I/O) device interfaces and a secondary battery are mounted on a rear part of the display (Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, [0014]-[0015], [0019]-[0020], [0023]-[0024], and [0026]-[0028]); the main board comprises a switch circuit and a graphic display chip ([0017]-[0018], and [0023]);

an LCD control board and a supply adapter for converting a commercial supply into a direct current (DC) supply and supplying power to the LCD control board are mounted in the base ([0014]-[0016], [0020]-[0021], and [0026]-[0027]).

However, Leapman et al. fail to teach the base and the display are electrically connected by gilded pins (golden finger) or a multi-pin/multi-jack connector; and when the display main body is separated from the base, the switch circuit on the main board sends an LVDS signal output from the graphic display chip directly to the LCD display screen for imaging.

In the related art, Baker teach the electrical connections between the base and the display are achieved by gilded pins (golden finger) or a multi-pin/multi-jack connector; and when the display main body is separated from the base, the switch circuit on the main board sends an LVDS signal output from the graphic display chip directly to the LCD display screen for imaging (Abstract, Figure 2, Figure 4, Figure 5, column 2 lines 2-32, column 4 lines 38-53, column 4 lines 62-67, and column 5 lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Baker into the teachings of Leapman et al. for the purpose of providing a removable display screen that may be used in the normal manner with the computer or detached therefrom and utilized as the display structure in a docking station-based computing station separately incorporating the base portion of the computer.

Consider **claim 2, as applied to claim 1 above**, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker further teach wherein a memory, a full-duplex wireless communication module, a data receiver and decompressor module, a audio output module, a bus extension port and a peripheral interface circuit module are further mounted on the main board in said display (Leapman et al. – Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, [0015], [0017], [0019], and [0026]-[0029]).

Consider **claim 3**, **as applied to claim 1 above**, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker further teach wherein control keys for a display screen and an indicative light circuit board are further mounted on said peripheral interface board (Leapman et al. – Figure 1, [0015], [0019], [0023]-[0024], and [0026]).

Consider **claim 4**, **as applied to claim 1 above**, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker further teach a display except wherein a thickness of said display is no more than 25 millimeters.

Nonetheless, to the extent that Leapman et al. as modified by Baker does not specify exact measures of the thickness of the display, this figure would have been a matter of routine experimentation to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in order to provide a thin, light weight and portable display that is separable from the base that takes less space on a users desktop. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (Where general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation).

Consider **claim 5**, **as applied to claim 1 above**, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker further teach wherein the main board of said display forms a wireless data communication connection link directly with a main body of the personal computer, and forms information exchange links with circuits on the LCD control board within the base through the gilded pins

(golden finger) or the multi-pin/multi-jack connector between the display and the base (Leapman et al. – Figure 1, and [0016]-[0017]; Baker - Abstract, Figure 2, Figure 4, Figure 5, column 2 lines 2-32, column 4 lines 38-53, column 4 lines 62-67, and column 5 lines 1-5).

Consider **claim 6**, **as applied to claim 1 above**, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker further teach the secondary battery mounted on the rear part of said display (Leapman et al. – Figure 1, and [0020]).

Nonetheless, the Examiner takes Official Notice of the fact that a battery can be a threeseries one-parallel one.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a three-series one-parallel one for the battery taught by Leapman et al. as modified by Baker for the purpose of reducing the weight of the display.

Consider **claim 7**, Leapman et al. teach a liquid crystal display of personal computer comprising, a display main body and a base, wherein a backlight board, control keys for a display screen and a indicative light circuit board are mounted on a rear part of said display main body; the main board comprises a switch circuit and a graphic display chip ([0017]-[0018], and [0023]); an LCD control board and a power supply board are mounted in the base (Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, [0014]-[0016], [0019]-[0021], [0023]-[0024], and [0026]-[0029]).

However, Leapman et al. fail to teach the base and the display are achieved by gilded pins (golden finger) or a multi-pin/multijack connector; and when the display main body is separated from the base, the switch circuit on the main board sends an LVDS signal output from the graphic chip directly to the LCD display screen for imaging.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,022

Art Unit: 2618

In the related art, Baker teach the electrical connections between the base and the display are achieved by gilded pins (golden finger) or a multi-pin/multi-jack connector; and when the display main body is separated from the base, the switch circuit on the main board sends an LVDS signal output from the graphic chip directly to the LCD display screen for imaging (Abstract, Figure 2, Figure 4, Figure 5, column 2 lines 2-32, column 4 lines 38-53, column 4 lines 62-67, and column 5 lines 1-5).

Page 7

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Baker into the teachings of Leapman et al. for the purpose of providing a removable display screen that may be used in the normal manner with the computer or detached therefrom and utilized as the display structure in a docking station-based computing station separately incorporating the base portion of the computer.

Consider **claim 8, as applied to claim 7 above**, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker further teach a display except wherein a thickness of said display main body is no more than 25 millimeters.

Nonetheless, to the extent that Leapman et al. as modified by Lee et al. does not specify exact measures of the thickness of the display, this figure would have been a matter of routine experimentation to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in order to provide a thin, light weight and portable display that is separable from the base that takes less space on a users desktop. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (Where general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation).

Application/Control Number: 10/528,022 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 9, as applied to claim 1 above, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker

further teach wherein at least one of the central processing unit (CPU), the main board, the

backlight board, the touch screen control board, the peripheral interface board, and the secondary

battery is mounted on a rear part of the display (Leapman et al. – Figure 1, Figure 3, and [0025]-

[0029]).

Consider claim 10, as applied to claim 1 above, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker

further teach wherein the central processing unit (CPU), the main board, the backlight board, the

touch screen control board, the peripheral interface board, and the secondary battery are mounted

on a rear part of the display (Leapman et al. – Figure 1, Figure 3, and [0025]-[0029]).

Consider claim 11, as applied to claim 1 above, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker

further teach wherein the graphic display chip is capable of outputting VGA signals to a VGA

interface for use by an image display device (Leapman et al. – [0027]).

Consider claim 12, as applied to claim 7 above, Leapman et al. as modified by Baker

further teach wherein the graphic display chip is capable of outputting VGA signals to a VGA

interface for use by an image display device (Leapman et al. – [0027]).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure: see PTO-892 Notice of References Cited.

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Art Unit: 2618

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April S. Guzman whose telephone number is 571-270-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April S. Guzman A.S.G/asg

/April S. Guzman/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Matthew D. Anderson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618 Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines